

The following transcript is provided for your convenience, but does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps, but is nevertheless very helpful in determining the gist of what occurred during this meeting.

SAN JOSE SUNSHINE TASK FORCE 7/24/09

>> JUST A COUPLE OF ANNOUNCES AND THEN WE'LL START. WE HAVE A QUORUM. SO IF YOU HAVEN'T VALIDATED YOUR TICKET, PLEASE DO SO. UM, AND OF COURSE, PULL YOUR MICROPHONE UP, TURN OFF CELL PHONES, PAGERS, OTHER ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT. WE DON'T HAVE ANY PUBLIC MEMBERS, IF WE WILL, WE'LL ASK THEM TO, REQUIRE THEM TO FILL OUT A SPEAKER'S CARD. WE BOTH GOT TO SIGN IT. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. LET'S CALL EVERYTHING TO ORDER. SUN SHINE REFORM TASK MEETING FOR JULY 24TH IS CALLED TO ORDER. WE HAVE A QUORUM. FIRST AGENDA ITEM IS COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR. PURPOSE OF THE MEETING IS TO DO 2 THINGS, ONE IS REVIEW THE DRAFT LETTER, ALSO TAKE A LOOK AT THE REVIEW, THE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE REVIEW BY THE RULES AND OPEN GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE AND SEE IF WE CAN SCHEDULE WHO IS GOING TO BE THERE. IT IS GOING TO BE IMPORTANT TO HAVE PEOPLE AT THAT MEETING SO THEY CAN EXPRESS WHAT THE MOTIVATION OR REACTION BEHIND THE RECOMMENDATIONS. AGAIN, I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY FOR THEIR WORK, THAT IS ABOUT ALL MY COMMENTS. COMMENTS FROM CITY STAFF, TOM.

>> NO COMMENTS.

>> ITEM 3.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN?

>>

>> I NEED TO MAKE A QUICK DISCLOSURE, ON WEDNESDAY, [INAUDIBLE] ENTERED SKPWAO A CONTRACT WITH CITY OF SAN JOSE, IF THE TASK FORCE DOES BELIEVE IT IS, I WILL RECUSE.

>> ALSO DISCLOSURE, I HAPPEN TO BE ON THE BOARD OF UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS. NEXT, SO WE ARE AT THE TOPIC 3, APPROVAL OF DRAFT MINUTES.

>> MOVE APPROVAL.

>> SECOND.

>> DISCUSSION?

>> I HAVE A MINOR CORRECTION ON PAGE 2. MY NAME IS UM, THEY ADDED AN E. ALTHOUGH I AM OKAY WITH IT. MIGHT WANT TO REVISE THAT, THANK YOU.

>> THAT IS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH IN SECTION 6 WHERE.

>> SECOND UNDERNEATH HERE.

>> CORRECT. SECOND MINUTES AS REVISED.

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED? OB TENSIONS. NEXT AGENDA ITEM TRANSMITTAL OF THE PHASE 2 REPORTS RULES IN OPEN GOVERNMENT BURT?

>> I AS MOST OF YOU KNOW, I WAS NOT AT THE LAST MEETING, WE APPOINTED A SUBCOMMITTEE TO WRITE A TRANSMITTAL MEMO TO THE RULES COMMITTEE AND THE COUNCIL. THE THINKING AS I UNDERSTAND IT, ALTHOUGH I TALKED TO A COUPLE OF PEOPLE ABOUT THIS BEFORE, WAS THAT GIVEN THE EXHAUSTIVE NATURE AND SOME OF THE TONE ISSUES WITH THE STAFF MEMO, WE FELT THAT WE WANTED TO HAVE A LITTLE MORE FULLER EXPLANATION AND SOMETHING OF A RESPONSE IN THE TRANSMITTAL MEMO SO SOME OF THE ELEMENTS WOULD NOT GO UNANSWERED. WE HAD A SUBCOMMITTEE WHICH INCLUDED MYSELF, BROWNSTEIN, VIRGINIA, MARY ANNE RUIZ. BOB DRAFTED A RESPONSE. WE WORKED IT OVER. HOPEFULLY, MOSTLY FOR BETTER, BUT POSSIBLY, I THINK I HOPE EACH MEMBER WOULD SAY THEY SEE THEIR POINT OF VIEW REFLECTED IN HERE ALTHOUGH PERHAPS NOT IN EVERY DETAIL. THE THOUGHT THAT THE SUBCOMMITTEE HAD, WHAT WE WERE LOOKING FOR WAS A SUMMARY LETTER, WE LIMITED OURSELVES TO 2 PAGES ON THE THEORY IT WASN'T REALISTIC IN THIS PROCESS TO EXSPECULATOR THE COUNCIL TO READ MORE THEN THAT. WE DID HAVE A VARILY LENGTHY DOCUMENT FROM ED DAVIS, THE COUNCIL FOR THE TASK FORCE WHO DEALT IN SOME DETAIL WITH SOME OF THE MATERIAL IN THE STAFF, OUR THOUGHT ON THAT MEMO FROM ED, MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE NOT ON THE SUBCOMMITTEE, IT IS AVAILABLE, PART OF THE AGENDA PACT THAT WE POSTED FOR OUR SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS. OUR THOUGHT ON THAT WAS IT WAS SO DETAILED, IT WOULD PROBABLY BE BETTER TO TAKE THAT MATERIAL TO THE RULES COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL. WHEN THE MEETINGS OCCUR ON INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS ON OUR RECOMMENDATIONS, OTHERWISE IT JUST GETS OVERWHELMING.

>> MR. DAVIS DISTRIBUTED ON HIS OWN TO SOME OF YOU, IF YOU WOULD LIKE WE ARE HAPPY TO SEND IT OUT TO OTHER MEMBERS IF THAT IS YOUR WISH.

>> SORRY ABOUT THAT.

>> IT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE.

>> SO ANY WAY, THAT WAS HOW WE REACHED A DECISION TO WRITE A LETTER IN THE STYLE AND OF THE LEVEL OF DETAIL THAT YOU HAVE HERE BEFORE YOU. UM, WHAT WE ATTEMPTED TO DO WAS TO MAKE BROAD POINTS ABOUT WHY WE THINK SUN SHINE REFORMS ARE NECESSARY, WHAT THE CRITICAL QUESTIONS ARE AS IT EMBARKS UPON PHASE 2, RAISE A COUPLE OF ISSUES. NOT IN SIGNIFICANT DETAIL WHAT WE FELT IN OUR JUDGMENT, A AT THAT STAFF RESPONSE OUGHT TO HAVE, SOME RESPONSE FROM THE TASK FORCE.

>> WE SHOULD ADVOCATE FOR THE PASSAGE OF THE ORDINANCE AND IT IS PA TEUS PA TOEUR THROUGH A BROAD BASE, A VERY WELL SELECTED TASK FORCE. WE NEED TO MAKE THE POINT THAT WE THE VOTERS OF SAN JOSE VOTED FOR THE CHANGE IN THE CULTURE OF SECRECY AND THAT THEY WANT OPEN GOVERNMENT. WE HAVE ATTEMPTED TO ADDRESS THAT. WE SHOULD MAKE THE POINT THAT WE THE CITIZENS OF SAN JOSE WANT THE OPEN GOVERNMENT AND WE BELIEVE WE ARE REFLECTING. WE NEED TO MAKE ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT POINTS, WHICH IS UM, THAT GOVERNMENT IS A GOOD INVESTMENT, THAT OPEN GOVERNMENT RESULTS IN BETTER DECISIONS AND THAT HAVING BETTER ACCESS TO INFORMATION WILL BE GOOD FOR THE CITY BUDGET AND FOR THE ECONOMY OF SAN JOSE.

>> DISCUSSION?

>> THERE ARE MANY THINGS IN THE TRANSMITTAL LETTER? I THINK WE WOULD BE PLEASED TO HEAR THOSE, DIFFICULTY WITH DRAFTING A LETTER, WE PROBABLY ALL WOULD LIKE TO AVOID HAVING ANOTHER MEETING, [LAUGHTER] TO REVIEW THESE SUGGESTIONS THAT WERE MADE AT THIS MEETING, I WOULD SAY, DAN, I DO THINK THAT THE LETTER, BECAUSE IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE TALKED ABOUT DOES EXPRESS THE TAX FORCE'S VIEW THAT THE COMMUNITY HAS CALLED FOR THESE CHANGES. IF YOU LOOK AT THE END OF THE 3RD PARAGRAPH, THE PHRASING, THEY ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE CHARGE WE SEE FROM THE CITY COUNCIL, AS CLEARLY EXPRESSED EXPECTATIONS FOR CHANGE. THE LANGUAGE COULD CERTAINLY BE DIFFERENT AS IT COULD ANYWHERE IN THE LETTER. IT WAS OUR INTENTION TO HAVE THAT BE A STRONG SUGGESTION TO THE COUNCIL THAT WE BELIEVE WE HAVE REFLECTED WHAT THE COMMUNITY HAS ASKED FOR.

>> FOR DAN'S FIRST POINT, CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION? IN BULLET POINT 1, THE FIRST SENTENCE BASICALLY TALKS ABOUT 100S OF WORK THEY PUT IN. TALKING ABOUT THE BROAD COMMUNITY INPUT THAT WE RECEIVED AND THAT WOULD SATISFY DAN. THAT WOULD FIT WITH THE PHRASING OF THE PARAGRAPH AND ADD ANOTHER SENTENCE. SAYING IT IS NOT JUST THE TASK FORCE. I HOPE IT WOULD ADDRESS DAN'S FIRST CONCERN ABOUT THE MONEY, I THINK I SHOULD BE QUIET.

>> WE RECEIVED INPUT FROM MANY ORGANIZATIONS.

>> MANY COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND ORGANIZATIONS

>> IS SOMEBODY GETTING THAT?

>> I GOT WE RECEIVED COMMUNITY INPUT FROM COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND ORGANIZATIONS, MANY THAT RECOMMENDED STRONGER MEASURES THAN WE ADOPTED.

>> WE BASICALLY, CONSIDER A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OURSELVES. WE WITH WOULD RECOMMEND TO USE THE WORD RECK MENNED VERSES ADOPTED. EITHER WORKS OR ADOPTED RECOMMENDATIONS. OUR RECOMMENDATIONS.

>> SO DO WE HAVE A MOTION?

>> I BET THERE IS MORE.

>> WHILE YOU ARE DOING THAT, DO WE HAVE A MOTION.

>> LET'S DO THEM ALTOGETHER.

>> NO MOTION TO ACCEPT, YOU CAN MOTION A MOTION, WOULD YOU? WHAT I WOULD WITH LIKE TO DO IS LET DAN MAKE HIS MOTION. WE COULD DO IT IN ONE.

>> OKAY.

>> A SWEEPING MOTION. SORRY KEN, YOU LOST THE POOL.

>> I KNOW THIS. [LAUGHTER].

>> THE POOL FOR HOW SHORT THE MEETING WAS.

>> ANY OTHER COMMENTS OTHER THAN DAN'S?
>> I DO HAVE A SORT OF TECHNICAL THINGS, WE WOULD RECOMMEND 1, SEVERAL PLACES WHERE YOU REFER TO THE SUNSHINE TASK FORCES, SUGGEST ADDING THE WORD REFORM WHERE IT IS MISSING. FIRST PARAGRAPH AND THIRD TO THE LAST PARAGRAPH, YOU REFER TO SENIOR STAFF WHICH PERSONALIZES IT TO, IT IS A STAFF RECOMMENDATION, IT IS TECHNICALLY THE CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION. ELIMINATE THE WORD SENIOR AND SAY STAFF OR -- IT IS THE MORE GENERIC. THE ONLY OTHER COMMENT, IS JUST TO THANK YOU THIS IS A WELL WRITTEN AND RESPECTFUL DOCUMENT. WE APPRECIATE IT.
>> THANKS, TOM.
>> I'LL MAKE MY SUGGESTIONS. THE FIRST SUGGESTION IS AT THE END OF PARAGRAPH 3. INSTEAD OF COMMUNITY FOR CHANGE, REPLACE THE 3 WORDS WITH ELECTRIC. CLEARLY EXPRESSED EXPECTATIONS OF THE ELECTRIC.
>> ELECTRIC IS NARROWER THAN THE COMMUNITY.
>> AND THE CITIZENS OF SAN JOSE?
>> THAT IS WHO WE ARE RESPONDING TO, THE CITIZENS OF SAN JOSE.
>> WE ON SECOND SENTENCE WITH THE CITIZENS OF SAN JOSE. I HAVE THIS PHOBIA ABOUT USING THE SAME WORDS TOO CLOSE TOGETHER.
>> THAT IS HOW I MAKE MY LIVING, CHANGING WORDS.
>> DAN, ARE YOU TRYING TO GET TO THE AUDIO THAT THE VOTERS MANDATED THIS BASED ON THE VOTING IN THE LAST ELECTION?
>> THERE ARE 2 POINTS THAT I AM TRYING TO ADDRESS, COMMUNITY FOR CHANGE IMPLIES IT IS ONLY THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY THAT WANT CHANGE.
>> EXPRESSED EXPECTATIONS FOR MEANING FOR CHANGE. BY THE COMMUNITY.
>> THE COMMUNITY, AS WELL AS THE COMMUNITY'S CLEARLY EXPRESSED EXPECTATIONS FOR CHANGE. HOW IS THAT.
>> AS A COMMUNITY, WE ARE WAITING TO SEE WHERE YOU LAND.
>> I HATE TO HAVE POSSESSIVE FOR A NON PERSON FOR A COMMUNITY. I'LL LET THAT GO.
>> IT IS A PERSONAL THING.
>> SECTION 1. OPPOSES IS DELETION. A REPORT RAISES DIFFICULT ISSUES WHILE WE EXPECT THEM TO GO ADDITIONAL REFINEMENTS. IT WILL NOT BE CONSTRUCTIVE WITHOUT A STRONG COMMITMENT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE.
>> IT IS JUST THE CLAUSE THAT PRECEDES, IT WOULD NOT BE CONSTRUCTIVE. IF WE CAN GET RID OF THE NEGATIVE.
>> I AM WAITING TO GET RID OF THE RESPONSE.
>> I AM TRYING TO GET THIS WHOLE SENTENCE. I DON'T THINK HE WAS QUITE THROUGH.
>> CAN YOU READ THE SENTENCE AS IT WOULD READ? JUST START THE SENTENCE WITH IT WOULD NOT BE WITH CONSTRUCTIVE TO CONTINUE THE PROCESS WITHOUT STRONG COMMITMENT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. WHAT IS THE TASK FORCE'S WISHES ON THAT?
>> AS MUCH AS I LIKE CUTTING OUT WORDS, THAT IS CUTTING OUT TOO MUCH. I THINK WE CAN CUT OUT TIME CONSUMING ISSUES. THIS IS DRASTIC.
>> WE ARE AN ADVISORY BODY, AND WE ARE PUTTING FORTH WHAT WE THINK IS OUR BEST WORK. THERE IS NO EVERYTHING IS FUNCTIONAL IN THE WAY THAT WE WROTE IT. SOME REFINEMENT ON WHAT WE HAVE DONE OVER TIME. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM TRY IT BEFORE THEY REFINE IT. I THINK IT IS E TKPWOGOTISTICAL.
>> I DON'T SEE HARM IN ACKNOWLEDGING IT.
>> I AM HOPING THIS IS A LESS ORDNANCE. I DON'T WANT THIS SENTENCE TO IMPLY WE LACK CONFIDENCE IN OUR WORK AND ENCOURAGING THEM TO THROW IT OPEN.
>> I DON'T THINK THAT IS WHAT IT IS SAYING. FURTHER WORK AND CHANGES, THAT IS HOW I READ IT. IT APPLIES ADJUSTMENTS.
>> WE ARE FAIRLY CLEAR ON THAT.
>> YOU WANT TO TAKE OUT THE WORDS ANTICONSUMING. THAT IS FINE. IT READS OUR REPORT RAISES DIFFICULT ISSUES AND WHILE WE EXPECT THEM TO UNDERGO ADDITIONAL CONFINEMENT, IT WOULD NOT BE CONSTRUCTIVE.
>> NOW THAT YOU ARE READING IT, [LAUGHTER] I AM NOT SURE. I AM NOT SURE I LIKE THE WORD DIFFICULT. I WOULD LIKE TO USE THE WORD COMPLICATED.
>> COMPLEX.

>> COMPLEX IS A GOOD WORD.
>> I GO FOR COMPLEX.
>> CAN I MAKE ANOTHER SUGGESTION?
>> [LAUGHTER]. WHAT WE ARE FOCUSING ON IS ONGOING REFORM. WE RECOGNIZE IT WILL BE ONGOING. IT REPLACES UP TO THAT WHOLE DIFFICULT AND TIME CONSUMING. AT THE START IT ASKS IS THE TIME RIGHT. IT WILL BE ON GOING AND NOT CONSTRUCTIVE TO CONTINUE THE PROCESS WITHOUT A STRONG COMMITMENT.
>> THAT IS VERY GOOD.
>> IT REPLACES, OUR REPORT RAISES DIFFICULT. WE RECOGNIZE THAT SUNSHINE REFORM WOULD BE ONGOING WITHOUT A STRONG COMMITMENT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE.
>> WE RECOGNIZE SUNSHINE REFORM WILL BE ON GOING AND COMPLEX AND NOT CONSTRUCTED TO CONTINUE THE PROCESS WITHOUT A STRONG COMMITMENT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. THERE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT STRONG CHANGE WITHOUT DIFFICULTY.
>> I AM FINE WITH IT. BURT?
>> AS LONG AS WE PUT IT COMPLEXITY.
>> I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE CAPTURED THE CORRECT.
>> ROUND 8.
>> STARTING WITH THE SECOND FULL SENTENCE IN NO. 1, OUR REPORT RAISES, IT WOULD -- WE WOULD DELETE OUR REPORT RAISES DIFFICULT AND TIME CONSUMING ISSUES UNDERGO DIFFICULT REFINEMENT. WE RECOGNIZE SUNSHINE REFORM WILL BE ONGOING AND COMPLEX.
>> HOW ABOUT COMPLEX AND ONGOING, SORRY?
>> I AM FINE WITH IT. WHAT IS NEXT?
>> NO. 2, FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS, FLIP TO THE 2ND PAGE, RATHER THAN ELIMINATE. I THINK THE POINTS I'D LIKE TO MAKE, BETTER ACCESS TO INFORMATION, WE BELIEVE SURROUNDED BY COMMAS, CAN MAKE GOVERNMENT MORE EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE AS WELL AS RESULTS AND GOOD FISCAL DECISIONS. THAT IS A POSITIVE ECONOMIC ARGUMENT FOR THIS. THEN ELIMINATE EVERYTHING AT THE END, THE TASK FORCE WOULD TAKE THAT ALL OUT AND SAY THE TASK FORCE BELIEVES THAT TRANSPARENCY IS A GOOD INVESTMENT.
>> I THINK IT IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT.
>> THERE WAS A PROCESS THE COUNCIL GOES THROUGH WHEN ISSUES ARE IDENTIFIED BY THE STAFF AS HAVING FISCAL IMPLICATIONS. HE WAS SUGGESTING THAT IN THAT PROCESS, THERE ARE TIMES IN WHICH ISSUES THAT ARE IDENTIFIED BY THE STAFF AS HAVING FISCAL IMPLICATIONS, THERE IS A TIME IN WHICH ISSUES THAT ARE IDENTIFIED AS HAVING FISCAL IMPLICATIONS ARE PUT ON A WISH LIST FOR WHEN FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE. HE WASN'T TRYING TO MAKE BROAD ARGUMENTS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT SUNSHINE IS A GOOD TASK FORM. WHAT HE WAS SAYING IS PROCEDURAL THE STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT YOU DO SOMETHING THAT IS NOT WHAT YOU OFTEN DO, OFTEN WHAT THE COUNCIL DOES IS CONFRONTS AN ISSUE THAT IS A GOOD IDEA, PHYSICAL IMPLICATIONS, THEY PUT IT ON A WISH LIST. THIS IS SOMETHING WE WANT TO DO AND LET'S FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO IT WHEN FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE. I AGREE WITH WHAT DAN IS SAYING, BROADLY, BUT THAT IS COMPLETELY DIFFERENT FROM THE POINT YOU ARE ATTEMPTING TO MAKE.
>> THE CONCERN THE COMMITTEE HAD IS IF THE FUNDS ARE NOT AVAILABLE, WE DID NOT WANT THE RECOMMENDATION NOT TO DO IT.
>> I AM OKAY ON SAY THAG. I WOULD LIKE TO INCORPORATE THE ARGUMENTS. LET ME EXPAND WHY TRANSPARENCY IS A GOOD INVESTMENT. FOR EXAMPLE, AT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, WE MANUALLY ENTERED DOCUMENTS, THEY KNOW THEY NEED A MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, BUT THEY DON'T HAVE THE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, SO SEVERAL MILLION DOLLAR INVESTMENT BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WOULD SAVE THE DEPARTMENT A LOT OF HOURS OF TIME BY LOOKING AT THE LONG TERM COST BENEFITS OF INVESTMENT AND MORE TRANSPARENT TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS WILL BE LABOR SAVING OVER TIME AND THE SECOND POINT I'D LIKE TO MAKE IS BETTER DECISIONS THROUGH ACCESSED INFORMATION, THE MORE HEADS, THEY CAN LOOK AT INFORMATION, ASK QUESTIONS, GET INFORMATION EARLIER RESULTS IN BETTER DECISIONS. IT IS A DEFENSE AGAINST POTENTIAL FOR CORRUPTION AND TYPES OF THINGS THAT OCCUR IN SECRET GOVERNMENT.

IT CAN PREVENT MISTAKES BEING MADE. THOSE ARE THE 2 THINGS WHY IT IS VERY IMPORTANT.

>> FIRST OF ALL, I DO WANT TO ADDRESS THAT. IN THE 3RD LINE DO WE WANT TO SAY THE COST IS IN ISSUE.

>> I THINK WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS GOOD. I THINK MAYBE IF WE COULD INCORPORATE A SENTENCE OR 2 BASICALLY, NOT GOING INTO THE DETAIL YOU JUST DID. BASICALLY SAYING THIS IS A GOOD IDEA. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD ADD A WHOLE BUNCH MORE.

>> THE COST ISSUES BEING RAISED. THAT IS FINE.

>> READ THEM SLOWLY.

>> BETTER ACCESS TO INFORMATION COMMA, WE BELIEVE COMMA CAN MAKE GOVERNMENT MORE EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE COMMA AS WELL AS RESULT IN GOOD FISCAL DECISIONS. THEN THE SECOND SENTENCE.

>> HOW ABOUT SOUND PHYSICAL DECISIONS.

>> SOUND? THAT IS FINE. THIS IS BASICALLY A STATEMENT OF BELIEF. THE SECOND UM, THE TASK FORCE ALSO BELIEVES, THAT TRANSPARENCY IS A GOOD INVESTMENT.

>> I AM OKAY WITH THAT.

>> IT REINFORCES WHY TO AT LEAST GET STARTED.

>> MAYBE NOT THIS YEAR. MAYBE NEXT YEAR.

>> IS THAT IT?

>> THANK YOU. MAKE A MOTION.

>> I MOVE WE ACCEPT THIS LETTER, UM AS FROM THE TASK FORCE AS AMENDED HERE THIS EVENING. I MAKE THE COMMENT IT IS EVEN A MORE BALANCED LETTER.

>> I'LL SECOND IT.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO ATTEND NOW WITH EVERY CHANGE WE JUST MADE SO WE CONFIDENT WE HAVE IT.

>> INCLUDING YOUR CHANGES ABOUT SENIOR STAFF.

>> RIGHT. IN THE FIRST PARAGRAPH, WELL WE WOULD PULL THE INDENT IN, SECOND LINE AT THE END OF THE SECOND LINE, THE WORD SENIOR WOULD BE DELETED. THE THIRD PARAGRAPH, THE VERY LAST SENTENCE WOULD READ, THE TASK FORCE BELIEVES THAT LEAVING CITY POLICY AND PROCEDURES IS INCONSISTENT FROM THE CHART FROM THE CITY COUNCIL AS WELL AS THE COMMUNITIES CLEARLY EXPRESSED EXPECTATIONS FOR CHANGE TO LEADING THE WORDS OF THE COMMUNITY. IN NO. 1, INDENTED THERE, THE FIRST LINE AND WE WOULD ADD THE WORD REFORM TASK FORCE, SUNSHINE REFORM TASK FORCE, STARTING AT THE END OF THE 3RD SENTENCE IN THE 4TH LINE, WE'D DELETE OUR REPORT RAISES DIFFICULT AND TIME CONSUMING ISSUES AND WHY WE EXPECT THEM TO PBDZ GO ADDITIONAL, THERE ARE 2 SENTENCES THAT GO IN THERE ROW PLACE IT WITH WE RECEIVED EXTENSIVE INPUT, MANY OF WHOM RECOMMENDED STRONGER MEASURES THAN WE ADOPTED, PERIOD. WE RECOGNIZE SUNSHINE REFORM WILL BE ONGOING AND COMPLEX. IT WILL NOT BE WITH CONSTRUCTED. NO. 2, AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE, REFORM TO SUNSHINE TASK FORCE. TURNING TO PAGE 2, IN THAT SAME SECTION, LAST SECTION, TASK FORCE URGES THEM TO TAKE A DIFFERENT APPROACH, AN ISSUE BETTER ACCESS TO INFORMATION, WE BELIEVE CAN MAKE GOVERNMENT MORE EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE AS WELL AS SOUND RESULT IN FISCAL DECISIONS. TRANSPARENCY IS A GOOD INVESTMENT. THE FINAL CHANGE THAT I HAVE IS, IN THE 3RD FROM THE LAST PARAGRAPH, WHERE WE LOSE THE INDENTING AFTER NO. 4 THERE, AND SIMPLY BE REMOVING THE WORD SENIOR FROM SENIOR STAFF.

>> WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE TABLE, DISCUSSION. NO PUBLIC COMMENT? ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED?

>> LET ME RAISE IF I CAN AS A FOLLOW ALONG TO THIS, ONE LOGISTICAL ISSUE, A BRIDGE TO OUR NEXT ISSUE ABOUT THE DISCUSSION OF THE SCHEDULE BEFORE RULES. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT TASK FORCE MAY UNDERSTAND THAT I DON'T SINCE I WASN'T IN PHASE 1.

>> DETERMINE TASK FORCE ATTENDANCE, AND WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO, AS YOU SEE IN THE PACKAGE, THERE IS A LETTER FROM TOM DATED APRIL 29TH, ON THE BACK HAS A SCHEDULE. WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS IDEALLY HAVE THE CHAIR OF EACH OF THE COMMITTEES, AS WELL AS MANY OF THE OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS THERE AS WELL AS

OTHER MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE WHO WANTED TO TALK ON THIS ISSUE TO DISCUSS THE RATIONAL AND WHAT WITH WENT INTO DECISION MAKING TO MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION. THE FIRST ONE WE END UP WITH IS WEDNESDAY THE 13TH, ETHICS AND CONDUCT. WE PUT THIS SCHEDULE UP ON PAGE 2. WHAT IS THE DIRECTION OF THE TASK FORCE AS FAR AS HOW TO PROCEED ON THIS? DOES IT MAKE SENSE TO THE COMMITTEE AND CHAIR TO BE THERE AND THEN IF FOR SOME REASON, THEY CANNOT MAKE THAT MEETING, THEY NEED TO NOTIFY US. GO BACK TO AUGUST 29TH, ADMINISTRATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY, IT WAS MYSELF, KEN, AND BOB, SO IF FOR SOME REASON, ONE OR MORE OF US THERE, WE'LL THEN NOTIFY 10 DAYS OR SO BEFORE THE 29TH, THE STAFF WOULD THEN E-MAIL OUT TO THE TASK FORCE THAT PEOPLE WERE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO ATTEND. IT IS POSSIBLE, THEY INTEND TO DO IT NOW. PROBABLY DO IT 10 DAYS BEFORE SO THE STAFF GETS IT OUT TO THE TASK FORCE, AT LEAST.

>> 10 DAYS IS IDEAL IN TERMS OF WARNINGS, IT MAY NOT, ONCE WE GET INTO THIS SCHEDULE, YOU WON'T HAVE 10 DAYS. THIS IS A PROPOSED SCHEDULE. IT WILL MOVE AT ITS OWN PACE. WE'LL DO OUR BEST TO KEEP YOU INFORMED AS WE ARE INFORMED.

>> WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE FRIDAY, THE SPECIAL MEETINGS, WHICH WOULD NOT CHANGE.

>> SINCE THESE ARE ON WEDNESDAY, COULD MAYBE THE STAFF, NOTIFY THE TASK FORCE OF ANY CHANGES THE DAY AFTER, THURSDAY OR FRIDAY WHAT IS COMING UP?

>> THE AGENDA COMES OUT ON FRIDAY. WHAT WE COULD DO IS ON A REGULAR BASIS, MAKE SURE THAT AGENDA GETS DISTRIBUTED TO THE TASK FORCE MEMBERS.

>> IF WE ARE SHORT PEOPLE WE'LL KNOW AND TRY TO SCRAMBLE AROUND.

>> A GENERAL COMMENT ABOUT THIS. I WOULD URGE YOU, TO BE CON SIZE AND AS BRIEF AS YOU CAN. IT IS SOMETHING THAT IS FREQUENTLY URGED UPON STAFF. WE'LL DO A VERY BRIEF PRESENTATION. WHEN I SAY BRIEF, WE'LL TRY TO G KEEP OUR PRESENTATIONS TO 3, 4, 5 MINUTES AT THE MOST. ENTER} THEN THEY GET INTO A DISCUSSION, SO UM, AS YOU ARE PUTTING YOUR PRESENTATIONS TOGETHER, IF YOU COULD KEEP IN MIND, I'M SURE THAT THE CHAIR WILL PROVIDE YOU WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THE ISSUE. BREVITY -- SUNSHINE TASK FORCE IS ADJOURNED. .