



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Dennis Hawkins, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
August 5 - 11, 2011

DATE: August 12, 2011

ITEMS TRANSMITTED TO THE ADMINISTRATION

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

- (a) Letter from Wayne Martin to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 8, 2011 regarding Vehicle Accident Fatalities per 100K Population for Selected San Francisco/Peninsula Cities.
- (b) Letter from Rose Amador to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 10, 2011 regarding the proposed sale of Rancho del Pueblo Golf Course.
- (c) Letter from David Wall to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 11, 2011 regarding "CM Constant raises travel funding alarm (and necessity)."
- (d) Letter from David Wall to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 11, 2011 regarding "Momma, there's a dead man in the park!"
- (e) Letter from David Wall to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 11, 2011 regarding "Murder and the Environment. O.E.D where are you?"
- (f) Letter from David Wall to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 11, 2011 regarding "City Manager Watch: Countdown to CM's retirement: possibly eighty-three (83) days left." (Attachment on file in the Office of the City Clerk and previously attached on the August 3 Public Record Item C).
- (g) Letter from David Wall to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 11, 2011 regarding "Nomadic living in San Jose. Why pay rent or a mortgage when you can live on the streets?"
- (h) Letter from David Wall to Mayor Reed and City Council dated August 11, 2011 regarding "The Ghetto Life: Update on the SCEP."

Dennis Hawkins, CMC
City Clerk

DH/tld

Distribution: Mayor/Council Director of Transportation City Attorney
City Manager Public Information Officer City Auditor
Assistant City Manager San José Mercury News
Assistant to City Manager Library
Council Liaison Director of Public Works
Director of Planning Director of Finance

RECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

2011 AUG 11 P 12: 05

To: Elected City/County Officials
San Francisco Bay Area
Fm: Wayne Martin
Palo Alto, CA
Dt: 08.08.2011

Subject: Vehicle Accident Fatalities per 100K Population for Selected
San Francisco/Peninsula Cities

Elected Officials:

The following article, from CNBC, lists the top fifteen most dangerous cities for driving, based on fatalities, and the top 10 least dangerous cities, fatalities wise. Following this article, you will find data taken from the traffic accident reports available from the California Highway Patrol, for selected Bay Area cities, for the past five years (2005-2009), which is provided for your edification, and for your comparison with America's worst fifteen cities, and its best ten cities:

<http://autos.yahoo.com/news/15-dangerous-cities-for-driving.html>

15 Dangerous Cities for Driving

By Colleen Kane | CNBC – Thu, Aug 4, 2011 7:06 PM EDT

There are many ways one could gauge the danger of driving in a particular city, but this list uses the cities with the greatest number of vehicular deaths as a barometer of the danger level. U.S. cities with a population of 150,000 or more were up for consideration, using the most recent motor vehicle crash data available from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's Fatality Analysis Reporting System and General Estimates System.

One particular region of the country, the South, is overrepresented, and within that region one state in particular sticks out like a panhandle: A full one-third of the cities in this list are in Florida. Why does Florida rank so badly? Some blame the high proportion of New York City expats—some driving for the first time in their lives — foreigners, tourists driving in unfamiliar territory, and senior citizens, not to mention spring breakers, who may have compromised vision or reflexes.

Los Angeles didn't make the most dangerous list, but had the most total fatalities overall, at 293. The city that's the most dangerous for pedestrians, according to the data, is Pittsburgh, accounting for more than 50 percent of total fatalities.

Here are the 15 cities that ranked the worst:

15. Oklahoma City, Okla.

Population: 551,789

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 13.41

Total fatalities: 74

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 13.5

14. Birmingham, Ala.

Population: 228,798

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 13.55

Total fatalities: 31

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 15.2

13. Tulsa, Okla.

Population: 385,635

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 14.00

Total fatalities: 54

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 18.5

12. St. Petersburg, Fla.

Population: 245,314

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 14.27

Total fatalities: 35

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 28.6

11. Jacksonville, Fla.

Population: 807,815

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 14.36

Total fatalities: 116

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 13.8

10. Lubbock, Texas

Population: 220,483

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 14.97

Total fatalities: 33

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 15.2

9. Memphis, Tenn.

Population: 669,651

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 15.08

Total fatalities: 101

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 11.9

8. Jackson, Miss.
Population: 173,861
Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 15.53
Total fatalities: 27
Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 18.5

7. Chattanooga, Tenn.
Population: 170,880
Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 16.39
Total fatalities: 28
Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 17.9

6. Salt Lake City, Utah
Population: 181,698
Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 16.51
Total fatalities: 30
Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 26.7

5. San Bernardino, Calif.
Population: 198,580
Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 17.12
Total fatalities: 38
Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 15.8

4. Little Rock, Ark.
Population: 189,515
Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 17.94
Total fatalities: 34
Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 26.5

3. Augusta-Richmond Co., Ga.
Population: 194,149
Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 19.57
Total fatalities: 38
Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 15.8

2. Orlando, Fla.
Population: 230,519
Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 19.95
Total fatalities: 46
Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 10.9

The most dangerous city to drive in: Fort Lauderdale, Fla.

1. Fort Lauderdale, Fla.

Population: 183,126

Total fatality rate per 100,000 population: 22.39

Total fatalities: 41

Percentage of fatalities that were pedestrians: 24.4

Top 10 Cities For Safety:

On the safer end of the spectrum, here are the 10 cities with the least fatalities per 100,000 population:

Arlington CDP (census designated place), Va.	(0.48)
Vancouver, Wash.	(1.23)
Moreno Valley, Calif.	(1.57)
Rochester, N.Y.	(1.93)
Spokane, Wash.	(1.98)
Lincoln, Neb.	(1.99)
Aurora, Ill.	(2.33)
St. Paul, Minn.	(2.50)
Omaha, Neb.	(2.51)
Jersey City, N.J.	(2.90)

Selected San Francisco Bay Area Cities--Fatalities per 100K Population

What about locally? How does the San Francisco Peninsula rank against the top 15 most dangerous cities, and top 10 safest cities, to drive?

Fatalities per 100K Population

City	Population	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
SANTA CLARA CNTY	90000	1.1	1.1	3.3	4.4	3.3
CAMPBELL	39349	2.5	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.1
GILROY	48821	4.1	8.2	0.0	4.1	4.1
LOS ALTOS	28976	3.5	3.5	0.0	3.5	3.5
LOS GATOS	29413	6.8	0.0	3.4	10.2	3.4
MILPITAS	66790	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	7.5
MORGAN HILL	37882	2.6	7.9	2.6	2.6	2.6
MOUNTAIN VIEW	74066	4.1	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0
PALO ALTO	64403	4.7	4.7	1.6	7.8	0.0
SAN JOSE	945942	3.7	2.4	4.1	2.7	3.7
SANTA CLARA CITY	116468	4.3	5.2	0.0	2.6	4.3
SUNNYVALE	140081	5.0	1.4	4.3	2.9	1.4
SAN MATEO CNTY	67000	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	3.0
ATHERTON	6914	0.0	0.0	14.5	0.0	0.0
BELMONT	25835	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0
BRISBANE	4282	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BURLINGAME	28806	6.9	3.5	3.5	0.0	6.9
COLMA	1792	55.8	55.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
DALY CITY	101123	0.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	0.0
HALF MOON BAY	11324	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8
HILLSBOROUGH	10825	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MENLO PARK	32026	6.2	0.0	6.2	3.1	3.1
MILLBRAE	21532	0.0	4.6	0.0	4.6	4.6
PACIFICA	37234	8.1	0.0	2.7	2.7	5.4
REDWOOD CITY	76815	1.3	6.5	2.6	0.0	6.5
SAN BRUNO	41114	0.0	4.9	0.0	4.9	0.0
SAN CARLOS	28406	0.0	3.5	10.6	0.0	0.0
SAN MATEO CITY	97207	2.1	3.1	0.0	5.1	3.1
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	63632	7.9	6.3	1.6	1.6	1.6
FOSTER CITY	30567	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
EAST PALO ALTO	28155	3.6	0.0	3.6	3.6	3.6

Data Sources:
California Highway Patrol
US Census (2010)

Prepared By:
Wayne Martin
Palo Alto, CA
August, 2011

From: RoseAmador@aol.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2011 2:05 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Chuck Reed; City Clerk; District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; District 6; District7; District8; District9; Office of Councilmember Nancy Pyle; City Owned Property

Cc: LRRoundtable@aol.com; eptexvet@yahoo.com; Herrera, Rose

Subject: Proposed sale of Rancho del Pueblo Golf Course

August 10, 2011

Honorable Mayor and City Council:

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed sale of Rancho del Pueblo Golf Course. This is undoubtedly, a loss of a unique community asset to a segment of our community that is most in need. The sale of the golf course further reduces accessibility of recreation access, as well as open space, to the underserved communities.

San Jose has experienced an increasing gang presence. Much of this is due to the lack of stimulating activities for youth, both in the schools and in our communities. Programs such as "The First Tee of San Jose" develops youth through golf and impacts the lives of young people by providing educational programs that build character, instill life-enhancing values and promote healthy choices through the game of golf. The majority of youth participants maintain an "A" or "B" average in school.

Eliminating another scarce resource to the community will save a few dollars and put many more youth at risk of positive activities and role models. Ultimately, our entire community will suffer as a result of this short-sightedness.

I strongly urge the Council to consider the adverse impact on our community and to not sale Rancho del Pueblo Golf Course.

Respectfully,

Rose Amador

*Rose "Cihuapilli" Amador, President & CEO
Center for Training and Careers
749 Story Road, Suite 10
San Jose, CA 95122
408-213-0961
408-288-9020 Fax*

2011 AUG 12 A 9:03

RECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

David S. Wall

RECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

2011 AUG 11 P 4: 04

August 11, 2011

Mayor Reed and Members San José City Council
200 East Santa Clara Street
San José, California 95113-1905

Re: CM Constant raises travel funding alarm (and necessity).

Yesterday, [Wednesday, (08.10.11)] at the "Rules and Open Government Committee" meeting, Councilmember Constant resigned his Chairmanship and associated "leadership duties" to a particular group which was unclear to me.

This abrupt resignation required travel to Portland Oregon on October 19-21, 2011 to participate in the San José / Silicon Valley Chamber of Commerce City to City Trip.

Funding for this trip was to be from the Council General (which is currently devoid of money).

Councilmember Constant was in "no mood" to fund this trip, which he didn't want to go on, from District 1's Office account. CM Constant testified that he had turned back to the General Fund, fifty thousand (\$50,000) from his office account.

CM Constant also testified that no other Council District account returned the same amount of money to the General Fund.

There is much more to this story than the drama that unfolded at RULES.

A whole new look at "Council travel" should now be in vogue for it is readily apparent that the Council Office Accounts, especially the Mayor's is in need of review and recalibration.

Keep up the good work Pete!

Boos, hisses and catcalls to the spendthrifts on Council!

There is more to come on this issue.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Wall
08.11.11

///
///
///

Cc: City Attorney / City Auditor / Manager

David S. Wall

PUBLIC RECORD d

RECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

2011 AUG 11 P 4: 04

August 10, 2011

Mayor Reed and Members San José City Council
200 East Santa Clara Street
San José, California 95113-1905

Re: **Momma, there's a dead man in the park!**

S.J.'s 30th murder victim's corpse found rotting in District 3's Roosevelt Park.

Murder in San José has become so common place it no longer ranks as headline news. City officials have gotten so acclimated to murder the only poignant legislation to ease the public's pain was observed at yesterday's, [Tuesday, (08.09.10)] City Council meeting the issue; "Tied House" Changes, [Agenda Item: 2.12] was to "authorize the introduction amending tied-house state law to allow the City or at the City's options, the City's operator of the San José McEnery Convention Center and San José Civic Center to receive payments for alcohol advertising and sponsorship within these facilities." The Rules and Open Government Committee reviewed and approved this matter at their last meeting, [Wednesday, (08.03.11) Item: H (5)].

How does "Tied House" Changes ease the pain of rampant murder in San José?

If unabated murder in your neighborhood park makes you a little queasy as to your own mortality, you can do two things; first get good with Lord Jesus, repent of your sins and go to church. If your faith hasn't made you strong enough to accept that you might be gunned down or stabbed to death in San José, now you can go down to the Civic Center and Convention Center to get liquored up. Being liquored up might make you feel a little bolder while being murdered. However, your blood pressure will be elevated. If you do get stabbed or shot while inebriated you will "bleed out faster". Always consult your physician concerning drinking alcohol and being either shot or stabbed, since San José is the MURDER Capital of Silicon Valley.

Has San José become "sin city west"?

With the "Tied House" legislation, the San José City Council has just authorized the increase in "vice related opportunities to sin" via getting drunk in public buildings. Council's past increases in "vice related opportunities to sin" is as follows; an increase in alcohol consumption by hindrances placed upon the San José Police efforts to thwart public drunkenness, an increase in prostitution via Council's incompetent decision making by not allocating the required funding to adequately staff the Police Department's "Vice Unit", an increase in drug abuse via marijuana club expansion instead of banning these dens of iniquity outright like other jurisdictions and an increase in gambling via extra tables in card rooms.

Not one Councilmember or Mayor Reed has told citizens to refrain from any of these sinful activities because these activities bring in the wages of sin, in the form of tax revenue, for the city coffers. And what specifically flows from the wages of sin? The wages of sin is DEATH according to Romans 6:23 (NKJV). Maybe that is why murder rates are steadily increasing in San José.

I think San José needs revival in the form of old timey Bible thumping to bind up the Devil and his demons. City leaders should be pointing the way to church instead of facilitating and enabling sin.

Cc: City Attorney / City Auditor / Manager / *Respectfully submitted and go to church this week,*

David S. Wall
08.11.11

David S. WallRECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

2011 AUG 11 P 4: 04

August 11, 2011

Mayor Reed and Members San José City Council
200 East Santa Clara Street
San José, California 95113-1905**Re: Murder and the Environment. O.E.D. where are you?****There are "Green Vision" and Economic Development opportunities associated with MURDER.****Why use "potable water" to wash the blood of murder victims off the public streets?****Has ESD developed any storm drain filter to keep blood from being flushed into creeks / rivers?**

The Office of Economic Development (OED) has been a little quiet on the innovation front of late. Although, they are quick to absorb funding for their operation from other funds ever since the Redevelopment Agency bank ran out of money. When OED comes back from cheerleading camp have them focus on murder.

I am surprised that OED has not made any comments or adjustments to their workplan to incorporate any Green Vision opportunities that may have arisen from the thirty (30) MURDERS to date in San José. There are opportunities for economic development and realization of "Green Vision" goals from murder.

For example, why is potable water being used to flush the blood of the murdered off the public street instead of reclaimed water? Reclaimed water has a higher salt content than potable water. This additional salt content in solution, especially under pressure, would remove the congealed blood from murder victims off the streets easier and with a lesser volume than potable water. This would be a variation of "water conservation" a noteworthy "Green Vision" goal and achievement. Plus, more Reclaimed Water would be used.

Further, OED seems to have failed to get the Environmental Services Department to engineer and provide the city with a patent for a workable filter that could be placed over the storm drain. Such a filter would keep the congealed blood from murder victims from entering the storm drain providing food stuff for rats and or a media to support contagion(s). Blood also chews up dissolved oxygen in water that will be deleterious to aquatic life. There are several "Green Vision" goals and achievements here too.

It would also seem to me that with the hullabaloo raised by OED, concerning their self proclaimed achievements to lure Biotech companies to San José, that none of the Biotech companies have patented a filter for prohibiting blood from murder victims from entering the storm drains (since it is foreseeable that some of their employees might get murdered here).

Traditionally, there is a lot of money associated with murder in this country.

It is a shame that the "City of San José- The MURDER Capital of Silicon Valley", cannot make any money, through innovative means, from the unrelenting carnage related to the slaughter of human beings within its jurisdiction.

*Respectfully submitted,**David S. Wall*
08.11.11

Cc: City Attorney / City Auditor / Manager

David S. Wall

RECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

August 11, 2011

2011 AUG 11 P 4: 03

Mayor Reed and Members San José City Council
200 East Santa Clara Street
San José, California 95113-1905

Re: City Manager Watch: Countdown to CM's retirement: possibly eighty three (83) days left.

City's "sick-leave-buy-out policy" is ticking down to zero.

Will the City Manager "jump ship" and cash out big time or refuse all that reinstated (gifted) money?

City Manager is entitled to every penny due to her under the "sick-leave-buy-out policy"!

According to [RESOLUTION NO. 73799 (which is included): page 2, E. Separation Notice, the City Manager has agreed to provide a sixty day notice to the City of a voluntary resignation.] This would give the City Manager until [November 2, 2011 (before or at midnight)] to give the appropriate sixty day "Separation Notice".

The City Manager can tender the "Separation Notice" at any time **up to** midnight on November 2, 2011 which is eight-three (83) days from today.

Discussed at yesterday's, "Rules and Open Government Committee" meeting [Wednesday, (08.10.11): Item F (Public Record)] was the issue of the City Manager's possible retirement predicated on the extinction of the "**sick-leave-buy-out policy**".

Since the city has already lost several "Directors" (Parks, ESD, Finance) and the Assistant Chief of the San José Police, it is foreseeable that the City Manager as well as all who remain and can cash out their accrued sick time before January 1, 2012 will do so.

In my opinion, that leaves a possible eighty-three (83) days left for the City Manager to continue to foudroyantly fill senior staff position vacancies with an imbroglio of administrative dullards and sycophants all masquerading as the quintessential juste milieu.

But, the City Manager does have the option to refuse to step down (voluntarily) and thereby refuse to accept over one hundred thousand (over \$100,000) dollars that she is entitled to receive from the magnanimous reinstatement of 872.9870 hours of sick time by Councilmembers who authorized this "gift" of taxpayer monies during a budget deficit year with foreseeable future budget deficits.

[RESOLUTION NO. 73799, page 3] shows the current Councilmembers: CONSTANT, LICCARDO, NGUYEN, OLIVERIO, PYLE and MAYOR REED; authorized and voted for the aforementioned sick time reinstatement.

What adjectives and or superlatives could be justly ascribed to Councilmembers who authorize such a substantial sick-time reinstatement; while knowing of the financial implications of the "sick-leave-buy-out policy", during a budget deficit year, with foreseeable budget deficits in the future at the time of their decision, who do not admit their deed of impavid shame as they cowardly beat up on city employees and retirees to the media as the causation of the city's financial disaster?

Cc: City Attorney / City Auditor / Manager ... *Respectfully submitted,*

Attachment on file in the Office of the City Clerk

David S. Wall
08.11.11

David S. WallRECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

2011 AUG 11 P 4: 04

August 11, 2011

Mayor Reed and Members San José City Council
200 East Santa Clara Street
San José, California 95113-1905

Re: Nomadic Living in San José. Why pay rent or a mortgage when you can live on the street?

“Upscale vagrants” and those of means use Motor homes of all sorts to live in any neighborhood.

“Free” Sewer Service, garbage service no Storm Drain charges accelerate this inclusionary housing.

Boats and their trailers showing up in neighborhoods as owners save money from storage yards.

Nomadic Living has a variety of forms in San José. You can be a vagrant living and destroying the environment on the rivers and creeks with impunity or you can acquire a motor home and quietly invade any neighborhood you choose to live in San José.

District 3, will be the topic of today’s lecture on the growing phenomenon of ‘comfortable’ on street living in San José. Why District 3? Ask CM Liccardo for his comments on the issue.

Check out Stockton Avenue, North 11th Street, North 7th Street, Santa Teresa Street, Guadalupe Gardens or even your own neighborhoods and you might see a plethora of motor homes. Of course, if you are on these streets in the nighttime it is readily apparent that the lights are on and someone is at home. Daytime, perhaps a hooker is roping in her Johns or a dope dealer has set up a marijuana dispensary on wheels.

Why has not this phenomenon been stopped in District 3? The **SCEP** (Shopping Cart Entitlement Program) reports have documented several ongoing incidents of this form of lifestyle choice. What of interest is, local government does not the fortitude to stop it from occurring anywhere in San José.

For example, if I wanted to turn my house into a “rooming house for profit”; (which is really a distinct ongoing blight already occurring in my neighborhood) and then buy, rent or lease a motor home with the express intent to “live on the street”, I could do so with impunity. If I structured the financial agreements just right only property taxes would show up on the official record but, even the property tax would be covered from ill-gotten gains.

Further, I could also lease out my house as a “marijuana grow house” keep a couple of intelligent dopers running the show, make a profit and get away with it like the scam one of my neighbors allegedly is doing right now.

Now as to dumping sewage and garbage that is extremely simple. Garbage dumping is so endemic in San José further commentary is a waste of time. Sewage dumping is extremely easy. Pull up to a storm drain and dump your tank. Or, if you have some creative plumbing in the motor home, get on the freeway at night, turn on the valve and dump your sewage as you are traveling the speed limit in the slow lane. Of course, you don’t need to get on the freeway at night, just drive by city hall at night and gradually let out the sewage intermittently as you drive through the downtown.

Nomadic Living is occurring and growing in San José. Why pay rent or a mortgage when you can live on the street for free and make a tidy chunk of change?

Cc: City Attorney / City Auditor / Manager ... *Respectfully submitted,*

David S. Wall
08.11.11

David S. WallRECEIVED
San Jose City Clerk

August 8, 2011

2011 AUG 11 P 4: 05

Mayor Reed and Members San José City Council
200 East Santa Clara Street
San José, California 95113-1905

Re: THE GHETTO LIFE: UPDATE ON THE SCEP

On Monday (08.08.11), I ventured over to North Tenth Street @ Horning Street to "take the pulse" of the **SCEP** (Shopping Cart Entitlement Program). I arrived on station at approximately 1211hours and found seven (7) stolen and abandoned shopping carts. *A 75% increase as to the number of stolen and abandoned shopping carts from last week is hereby recorded.*

The "perennial garbage pile" (PGP): the garbage build-up continues to be very nasty and odoriferous. The galvanized trash can is full of debris and garbage still stands steadfastly and stoically resolute over the festering contagions associated with the PGP. Councilmember Liccardo must have decided to abandon this place of depravity. Of course, no thanks to CM Liccardo to have this mess cleaned up.

The two chairs that are part of a single unit positioned are still next to the PGP. Garbage was placed on the chairs. Additional garbage including the front bumper (black) of a car or truck is now present.

Behind the Horning Street railroad crossing control box is a known latrine. There were several indicators of recent "latrine activity". The number of "green bodied flies" that are associated with pool of liquefied human stool were too many to count. It is getting quite "grizzly" out here but, I can take it.

The "perennial growing debris field" (PGDF) north of the Horning Street railroad crossing control continues to grow. Again, where is his Honor, Councilmember Liccardo? No one knows.

The travel trailer, "**All Scouts Plus**" [CA# FQ 3542] *is still* and facing east on the south side of Horning Street @ N. 10th Street. A late model, **Chevy Van 30 (a motor home)** [CA# 4TPK037] is still parked on North 11th Street @ Horning Street. This vehicle has been here for **several months**. A "fifth wheel trailer" [CA# 4KH6574] *is still* facing southbound North 11th Street @ Madera Avenue. This is a frequent "guest" to this area. "**The Golden Falcon**" [CA # JT 9621] *is still* the S.W. corner of E. Mission Street @ North 10th Street. It appears to be a permanent residence for it has been here for **several months**. CM Liccardo's "at will staff" have given up finding a remedy on the aforementioned issues.

On North 11th Street @ Madera Avenue there is a large "fish boat" on a two axle trailer. Someone was working on it so I did not get the registration. The rusted boat trailer is back still with the "handmade replacement license plate" allegedly [CA# RU8330]. Also on North 11th Street, a huge pile of garbage and debris adds to the worthlessness of the District 3 management team.

Ownership of the stolen and abandoned shopping carts is as follows:

Mi Pueblo (5) [(3) full of garbage and contagions], Safeway (1) [full of garbage and contagions], and "Unmarked" (1).

*"Unmarked stolen and abandoned shopping carts have been "purposefully altered" to shield true identity.
*****special note***** the overall cleanliness of shopping carts picked up off the streets and returned to stores should be addressed by some governmental agency. Unsuspecting customers may use excrement coated shopping carts without their knowledge. Shopping carts picked up off the street are "filthy" and are potential reservoirs of microbial agents waiting to spread contagion(s).

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Wall
08.08.11

Cc: City Attorney / City Auditor / City Manager